7.29 J 9.29 Cellular Neurobiology

HANDOUT

t

Quickie deviation of the Nernst equation (Weird, but solid as a rock).

First, lets consider conditions. We have two chambers separated by a membrane. The chambers have different concentrations of an ion (say, potassium, K⁺). The membrane is permeable only to K⁺ ions. (It has special holes that only K⁺ ions fit through.)

Under these conditions, there will be a voltage AV across the membrane. How does it arise? There is a net diffusion of K+ ions from high concentration (chamber 1) to low concentration (chamber 2). If no other force were present this would continue until the concentration in both chambers were equal (makes sense, huh?). However, this process never goes that far. When a few K⁺ ions diffuse from chamber 1 to chamber 2, they create an excess of (+) charge in chamber 2. This creates the voltage difference and an equilibrium will be reached when the tendency of the ions to diffuse down the concentration gradient is exactly counterbalanced by the tendency of the voltage gradient to push them back. Maybe a potential energy diagram will helpt

At equilibrium (rate of ion flow from 1 to 2 = rate of ion flow from 2 to 1), the potential energy per ion must be equal in both chambers -(otherwise ions would flow down the "energy hill" and there would be net diffusion). You can see from the diagram that equilibrium occurs when AU (chemical) = AU (electrical), i.e., when an ion going across the membrane from chamber 1 to chamber 2 loses exactly as much energy going up the voltage "hill" as it gains going down the concentration hill. So the big equation is:

 ΔU (electric) = ΔU chem (1).

What we have to do now is calculate the AU's and plug them in.
Let's start with the hardest, AM (chem). It's very difficult to decide how much work it would take to concentrate a bunch of ions in solution: if you try to squash them together mechanically, the water gets in the way. Let's try an easier problem (which will turn out to be equivalent) concentrating ions in a gas.

Let us imagine a gas of K^+ ions. Impossible, you grumble. No matter, I wittily reply. A gas is a gas; they all behave the gas law PV = nRT, and impossible ones will obey it too. This gas is particularly strange, since all the particles are electric charged, but we will worry about electric charges separately, in the AU (electric) part of the deviation.

O.K., we have moles of this gas in a piston, we're going to concentrate it by squashing it from an initial volume T_1 to a final volume T_2 . $F₇$ 3

We want to find out the change in potential energy involved in the squashing. We know from early on that:

 $W = \Delta U = -P S$ (2)

 \sqrt{x} = vork AU = change in potential energy $P = force$ AS sudistance through which the force acts.

This state a step in the right direction, since we're applying a force through a distance. There are, however, two complications.

(a); Equation 2 is for a uniform force. Note that as we squash the gas its pressure will increase and it will be harder and harder to squash it further, so F is not a constant, it's a function of distance. We

could get around this problem by chopping up AS into a lot of little lengths, ds, finding the force F(s) at each of them, multiplying the force there by the little piece, ds giving a small increment dU, and adding up all the little dU's to get the total change AU.

Fig. 4 old rule $\Delta u = -F \cdot \Delta S$

 $Fig. 5$

ions

We know that the easy way to sum up such little pieces is to integrate, so the new rule (for non-constant forces) is

$$
\Delta \mathbf{U} = \int_{\mathbf{A}} \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{s}) \ \mathbf{ds} \tag{3}
$$

So far so good. The other complication is (b); We've talked in terms of force F and distance. But the gas law is written in terms of pressures and volumes, i.e. :

 $PT = nRT$

 (4)

 (5)

P = Pressure \mathbf{T} = Volume n = number of moles of gas $R = a constant, the "gas law constant" = T = Tamparature, in 'Relvia$

How do we get from forces to pressures and so forth? By cleverly noting that pressure (in newtons/dm²) = Force/Area and Volume = (distance) x area (of piston). If we divide force by the area (of the piston) and multiply distance S by area (of the piston) the product remains the same.

 $\Delta U = -F \cdot \Delta S = -F/A \cdot A \cdot \Delta S = -P \Delta T$ Similarly for our integral expression:
 $\Delta U = F ds = \int_{S} P/A \cdot Ads = \int_{S} P \cdot d\vec{k}$

 $\overline{\mathbf{3}}$

The hard part is over. The rest is a matter of arithmetic. We want to express the change in potential energy in terms of the initial and final volume. Let's solve for Pressure using the gas law and plug the expression into the equation. $\frac{PT}{P} = nRT$
 $P = nRT$ (6) Substituting (6) into (5)
 $\Delta U = -\int_{0}^{4} P d\vec{Y} = -\int_{0}^{4} \frac{nRT}{T} d\vec{Y} = -nRT \int_{0}^{4} \frac{dT}{T}$ Now the integral $\int \frac{1}{x} dx$ is in x, so the integral $\int \frac{1}{x} dx = \ln T$, so the expression in (7) is $\Delta U = -nRT \int \frac{dV}{dT} = -nRT [ln T] \frac{Y_2}{T_1} = -nRT (ln T_2$ is T_1) $-nRT$ in $\frac{T_2}{T_1}$ Note that this is beginning to look like the Nernst equation, with R and T and in. It looks like we're on the right track. Next we have to go from volumes to concentrations. That's not hard. If we have N moles of gas in a liter of volume and we squash it to half a liter. we double the concentration. In fact, in general $V = \frac{\text{const}}{K}$ (9) $[K^+]$ = concentration of potassium ions in moles/liter Substituting (9) into (8) $\Delta U = -RT$ in const/ $\frac{K^+}{K^+}$, = $-RT$ in $\frac{K^+}{K^+}$, (10) Next we go from a gas to a solution. Let's dissolve n mole of

 K^+ ions at concentration $[K^+]$, into water. There will be a chan a of potential energy ΔU_A solution (very negative - the naked K^+ ions ould be extremely happy to get into the water). You need to know one th ag (definition) about an ideal solution which is that the solute (K) ions interact only with the solvent (H₂0) molecules, not with each ot ex. Dilute solutions of ions are nearly ideal solutions, so the rule above is a good approximation. (Each ion is surrounded, by a hydration shell of water molecules, as you learned in Chem 101-102)

 $Fig. 6$

a K^o in Church marine in Solution, unnouncled by water molands. The most for revely changed oxygen maler les aux

What the rule allows us to say is that AU for dissolving a moles of K⁺ ion is a function only of the number of ions dissolved, not of the concentration. (AU is just the sum of a lot of small AU's corresponding to the energy of hydration of each K⁺ ion. The value of the little AU is concentration independent, since the K⁺² ions don't talk to each other. Therefore AU for dissolving a concentrated K+ gas in water, forming a concentrated solution = AU for dissolving a dilute K⁺ gas to form a dilute solution.

What this means is that AU (chem) for concentrating an ideal gas is the same as AU (chem) for concentrating an ideal solution. To illustrate this, we need a path diagram for the four states with various AU's in between.

What we just said above is that $\Delta U_B = \Delta U_C$ (concentration - independents). It follows that $\Delta U_A = \Delta U_D$ because changes in potential energy ΔU to get from one state to another is the same regardless of the path one takes. One can go from a dilute gas to a concentrated solution either by concentrating the gas and then dissolving it:

 $\Delta U = \Delta U_A + \Delta U_C$

or by dissolving the dilute gas and then concentrating the solution

$$
\Delta U = \Delta U_R + \Delta U_m
$$

Since U is the same in each case

 $\Delta U_A + \Delta U_C = \Delta U_B + \Delta U_D$

 $(11).$

لهارج والدارية

We showed that
$$
U_{\mathbf{S}} = U_{\mathbf{U}}
$$
. Subtracting these equal quantities from
\nboth sides of eq. (11) gives
\n $U_{\mathbf{A}} = U_{\mathbf{D}}$
\nas I asserted above. Now we have already calculated the magnitude of
\n $U_{\mathbf{B}}$ then energy involved in concatenating a soise of a solution
\n U (chain) = $U_{\mathbf{D}} = mRT$ ln $\frac{|\mathbf{x}^+|}{|\mathbf{x}^-|_2}$ (13)
\nSo such for the chengical energy part of the Nernst equation. How
\nabout the electric field
\nand voltage in electric 1 .
\n U (electric) = q $U_{\mathbf{P}} = q \cdot V$ (14)
\n $q =$ charge transported across voltage
\n $\begin{pmatrix} q = -\text{charge}$ transpotential
\n $V = \text{velocity}$ = potential potential
\n $V = \text{velocity}$ = perpendicular potential
\n $V = \text{velocity}$ = parallel potential
\n $V = \text{velocity}$ = potential difference.
\nWe need to know the charge on n noise of \mathbf{K}^+ ions.
\n $q = n \cdot \mathbf{F}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} n = -\text{under of nodes of ions} \\ n = \text{charge period}$ (in couloumbe) on 1 mole of electrons.
\n $q = n \cdot \mathbf{F}$
\n $\begin{pmatrix} n = -\text{under of nodes of ions} \\ n = \text{charge period}$ (in couloumbe) on 1 mole of electrons.
\n U (electric) = n + g'·V (15)
\n U (electric) = n + g'·V
\n U (in terms) and (15)] let's plug that in
\n U (electric) = 0 if (other)
\n U (other)
\n U (electric) = 0 if (other)
\n U (other)
\n U (inter) = $-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{F}$ [\mathbf{K}^+]
\n U (inter) and (15)] let's plug that in
\n U (16)
\n U (1

Note C. There is often a problem in the sign of the voltage (measured from where to where?). You can get around this by memorizing conventions, or by reviewing the initial consideration which led us to expect the voltage. The region of concentrated (+) ions will be negative because uncompensated ions will diffuse down the concentration gradient creating a region of net (+) charge someplace else.