

XXIII. LINGUISTICS

Academic and Research Staff

Prof. Joan W. Bresnan	Prof. R. Paul V. Kiparsky	Dr. Jane B. Grimshaw
Prof. Noam Chomsky	Prof. George A. Miller	Dr. William R. Leben
Prof. Jerry A. Fodor	Prof. Wayne A. O'Neil	Dr. Justin Leiber
Prof. Merrill F. Garrett	Prof. David M. Perlmutter	Dr. Peter G. Peterson
Prof. Kenneth L. Hale	Prof. Krystyna Pomorska	Dr. Jean-Yves Pollock
Prof. Morris Halle	Prof. John R. Ross	Dr. Luigi Rizzi
Prof. James Harris	Dr. Gerald P. Berent	Dr. James H. Y. Tai
Prof. S. Jay Keyser	Dr. Alexander E. Carey	Dr. Ellen M. Woolford
	Dr. Robert A. Freidin	

Graduate Students

Hope Anderson	Robert J. Ingria	David Pesetsky
Mark R. Baltin	Oswaldo A. Jaeggli	Joel Rotenberg
Janet M. Breckenridge	Laverne Jeanne	Kenneth J. Safir
Luigi Burzio	Judy Ann Kegl	Todd Sjoblom
Lauri H. Carlson	Eva Kerr	Dominique Sportiche
Lisa Dames	Ann F. Lekach	Timothy A. Stowell
David B. Duncan	Rochelle Lieber	Craig L. Thiersch
Leland M. George	Marcia Linebarger	Natalie van Bockstaele
Bruce P. Hayes	John J. McCarthy III	Amy S. Weinberg
Randall Hendrick	Nicholas D. Ostler	Moira J. Yip
	Yukio Otsu	

National Institute of Mental Health (Grant 5 PO1 MH13390-11)

Morris Halle

The ultimate objective of our research is to gain a better understanding of man's mental capacities by studying the ways in which these capacities manifest themselves in language. Language is a particularly promising avenue because, on the one hand, it is an intellectual achievement that is accessible to all normal humans and, on the other hand, we have more detailed knowledge about language than about any other human activity involving man's mental capacities.

Scientific descriptions of languages have for a very long time followed a standard format. A number of topics are almost invariably discussed; for example, pronunciation, the inflection of words, word formation, the expression of syntactic relations, word order, and so forth. Moreover, the manner in which these have been treated has also been quite standard. While traditional grammars have many shortcomings, their great practical utility is beyond question; generations of students have acquired adequate command of innumerable languages with the help of grammars of the standard type. A plausible inference that might be drawn from this fact is that languages are somehow not very different from one another and the traditional standard format has succeeded in capturing essential aspects of what all languages share in common. Accordingly, much of the research of our group has been devoted to studying the common framework

(XXIII. LINGUISTICS)

that underlies different languages, the general principles that are exemplified in the grammar of different languages. Results strongly indicate that this assumption is indeed correct as far as the linguistic evidence is concerned.

The preceding discussion leads quite naturally to the question, "What evidence from outside of linguistics might one adduce in favor of the hypothesis that all languages are constructed in accordance with a single plan, a single framework?" It seems to us that the most striking evidence in favor of the hypothesis is, on the one hand, the rapidity with which children master their mother tongue, and, on the other hand, the fact that even a young child's command of his mother tongue encompasses not only phrases and utterances he has heard but also an unlimited number of phrases and utterances he has not previously encountered. To account for these two sets of facts, we must assume that in learning a language a child makes correct inferences about the structural principles that govern his language on the basis of very limited exposure to the actual sentences and utterances. In other words, we must assume that with regard to matters of language a child is uniquely capable of jumping to the correct conclusions in the overwhelming majority of instances, and it is the task of the student of language to explain how this might be possible.

A possible explanation might run as follows. Assume that the human organism is constructed so that man is capable of discovering only selected facts about language and, moreover, that he is constrained to represent his discoveries in a very specific fashion from which certain fairly far-reaching inferences about the organization of other parts of the language would follow automatically. If this assumption is accepted, the next task is to advance specific proposals concerning the devices that might be actually at play. The obvious candidate is the theoretical framework of linguistics, for while it is logically conceivable that the structure of language might be quite distinct from that of the organism that is known to possess the ability to speak, it is much more plausible that this is not the case, that the structures that appear to underlie all languages reflect quite directly features of the human mind. To the extent that this hypothesis is correct – and there is considerable empirical evidence in its favor – the study of language is rightly regarded as an effort at mapping the mysteries of the human mind.

Additional detailed information on various projects connected with this research is available through inquiry to the department head, Dr. Samuel J. Keyser, Room 20D-105, Ext. 4141.